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# Introduction 1.0

We have through NITH [[1]](http://www.nith.no/) gotten the opportunity to develop a game for a company in Oslo by the name of Syncrotec [[2]](http://www.syncrotec.no/). They wanted us to develop a release ready game for the mobile market. Developing this was a step by step process that contained frequent user tests and a high amount of testable prototypes.

Frequent tests position us to make the required changes efficiently as development proceeded.

We did this by using the SCRUM methodology [[3]](http://no.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum) and tools such as

Jira [[4]](https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira), Google drive [[5]](https://drive.google.com/#my-drive) and GitHub [[6]](https://github.com/) for planning, version control and documentation. We had meetings with both the external and the internal counselors when required, as well as giving them all access to relevant documentation for feedback. An important part of our design process was to not only create a game that could be monetized, but at the same time we spent time analyzing the current market.

We designed our game with the intent to improve on current trends for design and business models, implementing different ways of testing. We also spent time creating personas that we have learned to use through our other courses so that we could apply it to our project.

The game we envisioned is a tower defense game with something we consider to be a new twist, the game supports multiplayer, and has new gameplay elements mixed in an attempt to reinvent the genre. This document contains test reports, analysis of individual components within our game as well as process methodology and testing procedures.

**2.0 Vision**

The initial plan for the game was to implement it for the platform *Tapas Play* that Syncrotec [[2]](http://www.syncrotec.no/) owns. This idea was abandoned in favor of a standalone game. The game was meant to be a source of income for Syncrotec [[2]](http://www.syncrotec.no/), where the revenue comes from in game purchases. We intended to keep the game free and entirely playable without making a single purchase, but to make in game purchases enticing to the player by offering them an opportunity to ease the amount of time investment required. Therefore we looked at the more successful business models and tried to create a similar model tailored to our game.

When we developed this game for mobile devices we wanted the gameplay to be simplistic, and give the player complexity through choices and options in the Meta game.

We spent a lot of time analyzing the current trends in the mobile marked in order to have an idea of what was currently popular.

We planned on designing and creating all of our own assets.  We had several different kinds of tests to insure that we were making progress. In the early phases we used quantitative testing for a better overview of the genre. The later testing was qualitative testing for the specific elements of the game such as font, graphical details and sound.

Between the external testing we performed internal group testing within the group. This was important for the sake of keeping everyone up to date with the current version of the game.

**2.1.0 Vision analysis**

Our vision was based on the desires of the client as well as our market analysis. Considering the huge growth of today’s market when it comes to mobile games that are responsive and easy to play, we felt that this was the most appropriate market to focus on.

It was important to be able to create our own assets so that we could shape the visual style of the game the way we wanted. Considering that mobile games are usually played in short bursts, we wanted to focus on making the game enjoyable when playing in short sessions.

We designed the multiplayer to be turn based. We felt like this would be better because it allows the players to play on their own time. It was important for us to keep the single player functioning the same way as the multiplayer component. The reasoning for this is that we wanted the player to be able to jump right into multiplayer without having to learn new things.

## 2.1 Goals

Our goal was to design and develop a fun and innovative game experience where skill based gameplay is the main focus. We performed consistent testing and iterations to create as good a game as possible.

We intended to create our own assets using tools such as Photoshop [[7]](http://www.photoshop.com/) for a more personalized feeling. Another goal that we had was to re-define the genre we were working within. This was an attempt to change the basic formula of the tower defense game type. Another goal for this project was to develop our game so that it could be played by two people competing against each other without having to do everything in real time, in other words a turn based multiplayer experience. This allowed the players to take their time planning their next move before ending a turn. A difficult yet important aspect was to keep the game balanced and this was an extended process throughout the entire development.

We were prepared to cut certain aspects of the game if necessary in order to finish the game. Some elements of the game were put on hold. We did this until we could find a way to implement them into the game and at the same time keep everything feeling balanced.

## 2.3 Concept

Our concept is a Tower defense game with elements of base building gameplay. We will take the defend your base gameplay to a new level. You start off with a main building (Town Hall), that represents your health and if destroyed will cause you to lose the game.

The tower defense part of the game is composed of placing towers next to a road leading into your base and stopping the enemies from advancing too far. The city building part will be fairly simplistic as to not confuse the player. The player will have slots for buildings around his/her town hall that are used for placing buildings in the base. These buildings will have functions ranging from income upgrades to unlocking different units for use.

The player can customize his or her game strategy by personally choosing what buildings to invest in, each with its own benefits. We introduced a competitive multiplayer system where instead of real time you will queue up your soldiers for attack, construct your buildings and place your towers before telling the game that you are ready. When both players have completed their preparations, the game carries out the necessary actions, which can be viewed by either player at any time, before the next round begins.  The first player to destroy the enemy Town Hall will win. Our game will be set in the Viking Age and we the design and theme will reflect this in a multitude of ways, such as churches, Viking armor, towers and weapons. Even though we will follow this particular style we will also have the creative freedom required to add our own touch to old designs and themes. The reasoning for this is that we want the game to be thematically consistent, while also having an art style that evokes a casual and funny atmosphere.

## 2.4 Concept analysis

We chose to base our concept around Tower defense as well as base building because of the fact that these are two very popular types of gameplay mechanics in the different stores on mobile devices, but combining them is still a new genre that hasn’t been tapped into significantly. We intend to take the basic aspects from both styles of gameplay and merge them together into an innovative as well as entertaining experience. By not having synchronous multiplayer we avoid a large number of complications related to latency that would otherwise severely inhibit the gameplay experience. Latency had been an issue in games that Codewise had worked on earlier, so this was an important consideration for us from the very beginning.

Looking at the mobile market we also decided on a heavily stylized approach to the art style in order to appeal to as large an audience as possible. This approach extends to GUI design as well. We wanted to avoid copying other art styles or GUI designs, and instead rather draw inspiration from various sources.

While the primary goal of the game was to provide a fully functional multiplayer experience, we will also wanted to have a single player mode which lets the player familiarize him/herself with the game mechanics. In this mode the player will be put up against a relatively simple AI player, instead of another human being.

**3.0 Techniques**

Throughout this project we have been using different methods to keep the workflow moving. One of the things we have been relying on the most is the SCRUM [[3]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum_(software_development))method, we felt like this would be the most ideal way for us to know what is being done and at the same time it keeps people focused on the task at hand.

Throughout the project we have been using several tools and methods for managing and administering the workflow. We have been using SCRUM [[3]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum_(software_development)) as a method for managing the project. The reason for choosing SCRUM [[3]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum_(software_development)) as a project management method was because we recognize it as the best method for our type of project.

[[Scrum]](http://www.codeproject.com/Articles/704720/SCRUM-explained)

## 3.1 JIRA

As a supplement to fulfill SCRUM [[3]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum_(software_development)), we were been using JIRA [[4]](https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira).

We found JIRA [[4]](https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira)to be a useful tool for managing a project using SCRUM. JIRA [[4]](https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira) gives us, amongst other things, the opportunity to manage all the tasks in a project. Everyone included in the project may see which tasks that are needed to be done, in progress or tasks that are completed.

## 

## 3.2 Research method

An additional method we considered using for the development process is the Waterfall Model [[26]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Waterfall_model). The first formal description of this method is often cited as a 1970 article by [Winston W. Royce](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Winston_W._Royce) [[Article]](http://leadinganswers.typepad.com/leading_answers/files/original_waterfall_paper_winston_royce.pdf) Even though he did not use the term Waterfall in this article he did present it as a flawed , non-working model. This method is commonly used in the software development process in a way that makes the progress look like it is flowing down steadily.

The model has five “levels” starting with Requirements, design, implementation, verification and then maintenance. We chose to not use this method because we felt it would be difficult to finish a phase of the product’s lifecycle perfectly before moving to the next phases.

Another problem would be if the client decides to make big changes to the project it would mean invalidation of good deal of working hours.

We found this article while researching different methods of working and came to a conclusion that it would not be suitable for our project, but rather useful as a way we could have worked and it is a good example on a way to work.



### 3.2.0 Product reviews

### 3.2.1.0 Photoshop

For this project we used several different tools to develop our game. The main tool we have been using for the main assets and maps is Photoshop [[7]](http://www.photoshop.com/). The reasoning for us using Photoshop [[7]](http://www.photoshop.com/) is that it is one of the most powerful tools for drawing and editing our assets in detail.

### 3.2.1.1 Unity

When it came to actually developing the game we chose to use Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/). We chose Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) as our framework for developing the game mainly because of the ability to port the game to “all” platforms. Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) was a great tool for developing fast prototypes of ideas. It also gave us the ability to discard ideas that might not function without having wasted too much time on the development.

We were running Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/)4.3.2f1. The 4.0 update opened up a new way of doing 2d games. This helped us a lot when it comes to making quick progress.

We chose not to use Unity’s [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) built in programming tool Monodevelop[[10.1]](http://monodevelop.com/) for what we consider to be a better tool, Visual Studio[[10.2].](http://www.visualstudio.com/)

### 3.2.1.2 GitHub

For our main storage we used GitHub [[6]](https://github.com/). GitHub [[6]](https://github.com/) was a great tool when it comes to version control and as a backup solution. Although we were not able to use this ability when working with Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/). The reason for this is that GitHub [[6]](https://github.com/) does not work very well with binary files. Overall we have been fairly happy with GitHub [[6]](https://github.com/). Except for us finding it not to be very stable when the repositories is growing in size. To solve the problem with space, we managed to keep only the two last versions in the repository. When a new version was added, we archived the older version.

### 3.2.1.3 Google Docs

For documentation our main tool has been Google Docs [[5]](https://drive.google.com/#my-drive) . This excellent tool provided by Google gave us the opportunity for us to edit the documents together at the same time. The documents are in the sky, and therefore backed up and available at all times.

**4.0 60 seconds of gameplay**

The second wave of enemies is defeated and now the user has a new build phase. The user saw that the opponent used a lot of *heavy’s*. The user responds by upgrading the area of effect tower into an anti-heavy tower then selects jumpers from the barracks to counter the basic tower of the opponent. The user presses ready to start the next wave, the jumper moved swiftly past the opponent’s basic tower, and the anti-heavy upgrade did its job, if only the opponent didn’t build spies this would have been a good round.

4.1 Challenge Highlights

An important aspect of the design was to have the player feel like his choices when it comes to building have an effect on the gameplay itself. Not forcing the player to follow a certain strategy and allowing them to create own strategies. The challenge in this is that the player will have to figure out what strategy works the best for him through trial and error. At the same time we wanted to make any strategy viable when applied correctly.

It was important for the design of the game not to force the player down a certain path, but instead the player tries to forge a path of his own.

The city building element of the game allows the player to choose how he wants his towers and units to work. The way the player decides to build will eventually reflect back on the results from the round. We have 3 main units and 1 specialty unit that the player will have to defeat. The challenge from this will be that some tower will be efficient against certain units while less effective against others. Another aspect that will challenge the player is that he has to defend against several different paths on some levels. The player will have to place his towers in a way so that he can be somewhat effective on both paths. By focusing on only one path the player will let some enemies slip through.

The units have certain attributes that make them unique. The basic unit is easily defeated by the basic towers. The jumper unit needs a special tower that can bring him down from the jump. In this lies the challenge considering that the anti-jumper tower is only effective when it comes to bringing down the jumper.

The player has to balance how he builds the towers so that he can find a balance between damaging and slowing down enemies. The player will also find a way to balance how he wants to upgrade his towers.

When it comes to the multiplayer component to the game the challenge will have similarities, but will also require some strategic thinking. The main difference between multiplayer and the single player component is that the other player chooses what enemy types you will face. The challenge here will be to try to find a balance between defending against the enemy’s troops as well as sending your own special troops.

Another important aspect was to try to find you opponent’s tactic when it comes to building troops and then create your own tactic to overcome him. The players will have to try to get as much done as possible before ending a round to maximize his defense as well as the offense.

Another challenge is to adapt to the different kind of players that you will face. Some will play more aggressively and spend their money on units while other may be more defenses and spend most of their money on developing buildings and towers. Finding strategies against all the different types of opponents will the key to winning.

**5.0 Balancing**

When it comes to balancing there were several things that we did. The towers needed to be balanced against the waves of enemies and to be correctly priced when they are bought or upgraded and how much money the player will earn per round. The player must not feel cheated by the game. By getting your units into the enemies base in multiplayer will award you some more money for the next round. The balancing itself will start from the first external testing.

The balancing itself is something that was ongoing throughout the entire project and. finding a way for the spy to function in a way that does not add a dominant strategy was be a difficult task. We were not entirely sure on how to design the spy. We had to decide if this is to be a killable unit or a type of active ability. We were working hard on removing any kind of ability for the player to manipulate stats in order to dominate a game.

Making the game balanced became a bigger hurdle than first thought. It started to add up in complexity fast and it could not compare unit to unit or tower to tower, but we had to make groups. We did this by making groups of elements work by the rock-paper-scissors idea and making these groups balanced against each other.

The grouping we did was two units and a tower; this means that one tower can specialize in stopping two units. This made it easier for us to balance as we did not need to focus on how it does against the rest of the units. Since we have three towers these towers can then be balanced against each other in a rock-paper-scissors scheme, and since the units are grouped to the towers it will somewhat balance out. The new step is the upgrade paths, and as long the units stats increase is not too fast to overpower the towers.

A unit has 100 health points and a basic tower is able to hit it three times as it passes by in a straight line, killing it on the second hit.

## 5.1 Prototyping

In the first two weeks of developing an idea for the game, we went through several concepts.

We made prototypes for the concepts that we liked the best.

While looking at the different prototypes and the concepts, we chose ONE concept with the consent of Ståle and Eirik.

We made complete prototypes of two of the concepts.

One of the games we made a prototype for was a game where you shot a mole down into the ground. While the mole digs its way downwards, you have to steer it to collect treasures, avoid obstacles and eventually reach the goal at the bottom.

We also created a detailed prototype for a game we called “Brong” which is a mix between Pong and Breakout for two or more players. We left this concept because real-time gameplay between players is not possible in the way we designed the concept.

After going through five prototypes and having a meeting with a vote at the end of the week. We settled down on creating a tower defense game with a new twist. We could by doing this get around the obvious lag problem.

## 

## 5.2 First prototype

Our first Tower Defense prototype was a simple attempt to get the basic functionality going. We wanted to see how difficult it would be for our programmers to grasp Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) and its features. After a short learning period we had a functional prototype with basic graphics and basic functionality. This gave us a chance to see what would possibly become a challenge later on in the project.

What the group felt was a difficult part of the project was the network coding because of its complexity with Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/). At the same time we felt that AI could have taken time, but we had taken these things into consideration and planned extra time when it came to developing them.

We tested the first prototype internally on a phone in order to see if scale and animation was functioning as intended. We had to tweak the path finding somewhat, but overall the test was a success and everything felt visible and simple to understand. When it came to our externally tested prototypes we went for a more complete design. We designed a map up to what we consider production quality to avoid having the tester focus on the look of the game over how it played.

We decided not to add all the gameplay elements in this prototype and instead focus on the basic tower building part of the game. Things such as sending units to the enemy base and city building were iterated for later prototype testing. This allowed us to focus our tests at certain aspects of our game at a time instead of having several half-finished parts of the game at once. This also allowed us to do a more detailed internal testing when it comes to the new gameplay elements before we did the external testing.

Our first main test consisted of changes that we made based on the feedback we got on our google docs tower defense based questionnaire. The changes were subtle, but important as it will gave us an overview of how a tester felt about our current build.

The build for sprint three was a lot closer to what the final build is to look like. It had all of the basic gameplay elements completed and was played on a phone. This prototype gave us an even clearer goal when we start work on the next iteration of our game.

## 5.3 Testing

We performed several different tests throughout the project to test the gameplay and design of the game as well as getting feedback from a wide array of users. Our initial test will be mostly focused on basic gameplay and graphics. We found that it is important to start off with this kind of test to see if the player found the game fun and that it was not confusing or difficult to understand.

We designed and created as close to a production quality map for the first proper external testing to avoid having too much feedback about it not looking finished. At the initial testing only the basic gameplay functions were present so that we could focus on testing new things in the later iterations.

We will detail questions in a questionnaire for the testers to fill out where the questions were focused on how the player felt that the gameplay was moving forward and if he or she picked up some of our visual cues. We also did tests on both mobile and a pc, but we felt that if we only could find time to do the initial test on a computer it would have sufficed for this iteration.

When it came to selecting testers for this prototype we tried to get a variation of people. From those who play these kinds of games on a daily basis to people who have little to no experience playing games. We intended on creating a game that anyone can play even with little to no experience without having to read through a long tutorial.

The testing was performed with one of the developers sitting next to the tester observing as well as giving aid if the player gets stuck or has a specific question. The developer will also be taking notes of the test process itself in case he notices certain behaviors from the tester.

After the tests were completed we gathered the data and analyzed it, looking at what the player liked, disliked and wanted different. We then re-iterate the game and performed changes based on the feedback.

As the testing progressed we started testing on mobile devices to see if our game’s assets are correctly sized and if text was readable on a wide array of devices. We completed some design tests where we showed of different iterations of our concept art to receive feedback on what suits the game the most.

After a session of internal testing we discovered that we can lower the resolution of most of the assets. The way they looked with the current resolution adds to the overall size of the game. When playing the game on a mobile device you won’t need as high a resolution as if you were on a pc. The resolution was set to a point where you could zoom all the way into the map and all of the characters and towers were sharp and clean. By changing the resolution we removed several MB from the install size.

We considered letting the player choose his own resolution when playing on a pc. Our main focus is to make the game functional and fun on mobile devices before moving to the pc. The reason for this is that we felt that it was smart to start out on the most basic platform and then port it over to something with more input options later. After the questionnaire part we had a long list over the most common phones. This gives us a clear idea over what devices we should optimize our game for.

We took some time to do some interviews to get an overall opinion on the tower defense genre as well as some useful feedback on the art of our game. We discovered through this process what people are looking for in these games and what they feel is less important. The biggest change we had to do based on the feedback of these interviews were to overcompensate when it comes to our character art. Most of the people interviewed did not recognize the Vikings and though they were animals or goblins.

## 5.4 Testing Analysis

We decided to focus our testing on simply showing our prototype to people and then have them answer a questionnaire. The questions were Tower Defense based and served to give us an idea on what phones people use as well as what games they prefer to play. The answers were for the most part quite useful and brought us information that can be used when it comes to developing our game. Some of the answers were “funny”, but we knew that some would not take it seriously and because of this we tested some extra so that the joke answers would fade out by the amount of answers.

An important question was about the mobile devices that people use. We found this to be important for later external testing, we used this information to find out what phone is the most used so that that we could work on making the game as compatible as possible.

Most of our testers were IT students, but we also have some students within other studies as well as people who are done with their studies and are now working. There was also a good mix between people who play games on a daily basis as well as people with little to no experience when it comes to games. This gave us a huge variety of answers.

Overall most of our testers had modern phones, but we still catered to the weakest phone on the list so that the game would be functional for most people.  When it came to time spent on games such as the one we are creating the answers were fairly surprising. Most of the people said that they would spend up to two hours in one sitting. The average playing time for most mobile users is known to be from one to ten minutes in one sitting.

What seemed to be important for most of the testers was fast fluid gameplay with little to no interruptions during gameplay.

When it comes to sound it seems that this is little to not important for most of our testers. This was something we knew as most people tend to play with their phones on silent. Even though sound may seem to be unimportant for most people, we decided not to neglect the sound environment for our game The sound itself was not our main focus until much later in the process, but we tried to make it sound good enough to make people actually turn their phones volume up to listen.

A large portion of the users said that the graphical style of the game was crucial to them actually playing the game. The style that most people seemed to like was a cartoony look as well as a clear distinction of what all of the different objects within the game are supposed to resemble. As we expected no one likes ads or micro transactions, but some agreed that ads are fine as long as they are not shown constantly. We looked into creating ways for micro transaction to be more cosmetic effects and not gameplay changing. When it comes to historical settings it seems to be very varied what people wanted. Some said Vikings while other want medieval. Overall the difference between these two when looked at from above is minimal and we feel we can make both sides happy with our design.

One of the testers wanted an in progress save function so he can continue his single player game from the last played point. We find this to be an interesting idea and we tried to find a way to incorporate it into our game, but eventually it was cut. We did some more in depth testing to see what people feel about our graphical style as well as the pace of our game as often as possible to be able to modify it accordingly. A reason we decided to do the questions before the testing of the game itself was so that we could do some modification of our game based on the answers.

**6.0** Market analysis

Looking at the current market today shows that within the tower defense category the most popular games are built up with colorful graphics and usually cartoony characters to appeal to a broader audience. The games themselves are built up to be playable with one finger in order to keep the interaction with the game as simple as possible. We decided to adopt the cartoony and stylized type of design to appeal to a broader age group as well as both genders. We adapted the trend of using bright and vibrant colors in the game. This gives of a positive type of feedback to keep the player satisfied about the things he or she achieves in the game. We chose the cartoony graphical style because of it being the most popular style when it comes to games on mobile platforms. The cartoony style is also more appealing to a broader audience. Making the “violence” less violent by removing blood and anything that may decrease our user base was an important task. Changing the blood into a puff of smoke. Removing violence is also a good addition to our cartoony style. Another important part of designing the game is to keep the design modern and clean to give the user a more comfortable experience using the game.

By analyzing what is currently popular and used by bigger developers we will adapt our game to follow a similar style. Looking at these different developers we have noticed that what is currently popular is clean non sharp colors as well as simplicity.

Designing our game this way will allow users to quickly adapt and feel at home playing our game. Our main design choices come from what we consider to be the closest thing to our game concept and that game is called Kingdom Rush [[11]](http://www.kingdomrush.com/). We spent quite some time playing and analyzing the game and its rules in order to see what we felt made that game so much fun, but at the same time how we could improve on their design and gameplay choices.

Looking at different business models was also an extremely important part of our project because of the amount of different ways to monetize on a game. While the intent is to make money of our game we feel that it is important to not make the user feel like he or she has been cheated because that while turn the user base against us. Our business model will be a version of the popular freemium model. This means that while the game itself will be free to download we will give the players a chance to buy simple upgrades or cosmetic skins to change the look of your game. By having a business model where the player can play through the game without feeling that he has to purchase items to complete the game is important to keep the player coming back for more. Looking at the current most played game on mobile platforms “Candy crush” [[12]](http://www.candycrushsaga.com/).

The game itself is free and it is difficult, yet possible to play through without having to purchase any items, but in between levels you are given that option. It also does very well when it comes to integrating your friends into the game by Facebook [[13]](https://www.facebook.com/) sharing and the possibility to give friends more “life”. Their way of making it simple and quick to purchase help for the game at any time without making the player feel like he is being forced to purchase anything.

By doing this they are building up trust and at the same time they are making their users feel comfortable with using their product. Looking at a game that is quickly becoming the biggest mobile game of 2014 “Flappy bird “[[14]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flappy_Bird). The game itself is completely free and has no monetizing except for simple ads in the game. The game itself was released 2013, but is just now becoming known. The sheer popularity of the game has almost made sure that their next game is going to be noticed, so that they could in theory monetize well on their next game based on their Flappy Bird’s [[14]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flappy_Bird) success.

It is incredibly important when you design a business model is not only to look at what we consider good business models like the ones from Candy Crush [[12]](http://www.candycrushsaga.com/) or Angry birds [[15]](http://www.angrybirds.com/), but at the same time look at companies that have notoriously unfair models. An example of this is the new Dungeon keeper [[16]](http://www.ea.com/dungeon-keeper-and) game for the mobile platforms. The game is running what many consider to be the a terrible freemium model where the game is constantly pushing its model to make you buy “gems” that will make everything build faster. This is a game that cannot be played efficiently without buying these gems because of the time it takes to do anything in the game. Some things take up to 24 hours to build unless you spend 8$ for the gems needed to do it instantly.

It is important that we analyze these kinds of models so that we can avoid making such a mistake where our user base feels cheated by our model. Another thing that is important is that because of the huge growth when it comes to models like this. If we can have a good model in between the terrible ones we will gain the trust from a user base that has grown tired of these kinds of business models. When it comes to tempting users into trying our game an important aspect is the icon that they will see when going to the different stores. Looking over the current popular games there are certain trends that are being repeated.

The use of certain colors and fonts make the different logos seem more appealing to the eyes and we want to find a way to implement this for our game. When it comes to finding a name for our game the importance of something that people can instantly remember and the name itself should be connected to the gameplay. By doing this we let people know what our game is subconsciously. By pulling in people’s interest by using psychology is a common thing and it is important to use it subtly to not make the users feel like we are trying to cheat them.

Another aspect that is important when it comes to making the players enjoy and come back for more is the sound aspect of such a game. Looking over such games as Candy Crush [[12]](http://www.candycrushsaga.com/), Flappy Bird [[14]](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flappy_Bird) and Angry Birds [[15]](http://www.angrybirds.com/) they all seem to follow the same theme. Even though their sounds a simple and minimalistic they are never sharp or unpleasant to listen to. They are very subtle, but at the same time they enhance the game experience by giving the player a good amount of positive feedback. Even though most players won’t think about the sound it does affect how long they will play the game without becoming tired.

## 6.1 Store guidelines

An important part of publishing a game on the different stores currently available is to make sure that we don't break any of the rules set by the respective companies. We analyzed the App store [[16]](https://itunes.apple.com/no/genre/ios/id36?mt=8), Windows store [[17]](http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/apps/hh694083.aspx) as well as the Google play store [[18]](https://play.google.com/store).

Even though the stores have most of the same guidelines [[18 app store]](https://developer.apple.com/app-store/review/)

[[19 Google play]](http://developer.android.com/distribute/googleplay/promote/brand.html) [[20 Windows store]](http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/apps/hh694083.aspx) there are some subtle differences. Because of the fact that our game is free and ads are important for the monetization of the game we need to be careful not to break their guidelines for ads or certain types of content.

Because of these guidelines we have decided not to have certain elements in our game.

Blood and any kind of violence is removed and because of apple’s guidelines [[18 app store]](https://developer.apple.com/app-store/review/). When it comes to simplistic and simple to use GUI we will have to create something simple. The guidelines also specify on how we are to place ads. It is important to not have ads in locations where they could be accidentally clicked by a player. An option to this is to only show ads when the player is in the menus. We might have to be careful when it comes to having shirtless Vikings considering that both stores are relatively strict when it comes to having too much skin showing. if it comes to this it won't be much work to fix the character drawings.

Overall there should not be too many issues with our game when it comes to the different policies, but we are prepared for the different things we might have to change.

## 6.2 Rules

Since the game is turn based (make towers/buildings/upgrade step, and unit attacking/ tower defending step), the game grants the player an amount of resources to spend for each building phase, this amount can be adjusted for each wave making it faster paced, or make it possible for the user to hurt the enemies amount.

There are restrictions on where you can build towers, this is in place to streamline the game in some form, and this rule also applies to the buildings in base. The main objective is to keep your town hall living after a set amount of waves.

You will lose the game when your town hall is destroyed by the invading force. When all waves have been defeated you will move on to the next level or if it is an online game you will move on to a new opponent or have the opportunity to have a rematch. You will gain money by taking out your enemies as well as winning rounds. With the money you earn you can decide what you think you should spend them on from new towers to tower. As for the multiplayer aspect of the game we will have turns. This means that both players will plan their defense and offense and then they both have confirmed their moves the game will progress. There will always be a default group of enemies that will move out from both sides to not stop the game, but players can choose to send out certain enemies. The players will have to decide on if they want to focus on a heavy defense or a heavy offense. The last player with a town hall will win the game.

# 7.0 Workflow analysis:

When designing a game for certain markets it is important to a detailed risk analysis in case some things go wrong. Our plan was detailed and we were prepared for things that could go wrong. The main problem we seemed to have was sickness. Sickness is a common problem in these types of projects and if not planned correctly it could halt the project. We had certain weeks were several people had to stay at home because of fever, but because of our risk plan we were able to keep working without too many problems.

Another common problem we faced was hardware failure. Even though all of our computers are modern we still faced problems with overheating and blue screen errors. One of these crashes was so severe that one of the laptops was crippled for two days. We were prepared and had backup tasks set for the person with the problems.

When it comes to the software we have been using it has for the most part been working well. We have had some issues when it comes to Unity crashing. The problem with Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) is that when working on larger projects with a lot of assets it may become somewhat unstable. This will cause it to crash if too many things are changed at once. We made sure to always have backups of our projects so that it would stop the work being done.

Most of our technical problems seem to come from using Github [[6]](https://github.com/). Even though it was a useful program for saving files it is very unstable and we had to recreate the repository several times. The problem seems to lie in the fact that it becomes unstable when we had several build files in the same folder.

An important aspect of such a project is communication. Without having several different ways of reaching each other our project would have been halted. With such tools as Skype [[28]](http://www.skype.com/en/) and Facebook [[13]](https://www.facebook.com/) we were able to have meetings and have an overview when it comes to what people were working on. Skype [[28]](http://www.skype.com/en/) allowed us to keep the Syncrotec [[2]](http://www.syncrotec.no/) updated on our progress with frequent video meetings.

What took the most time seems to be the learning process of using a new tool such as Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/). Even though we had skilled programmers it took them some time to grasp how Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) works. This evened out during the third sprint and we managed to take back the time that was lost.

Even with certain hiccups the workflow has been steady and consistent throughout the entire project.

# 8.0 Conclusion

In conclusion when working on a larger project like this correct method use and planning is crucial in developing a good end product. We started off with an idea that we were prepared to cut down content on if we felt it was necessary. By planning possible cuts from the beginning we felt confident on being able to develop a product the client would be happy with.

We spent a lot of time learning correct usage of methods such as SCRUM [[3]](http://no.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum) to maximize how much work we were able to do. We found the method to be useful when it comes knowing what is being worked on by who as well as giving us clear goals for each day.

Working closely with the client was also an important aspect of the process. We had to be able to make changes quickly based on the client’s needs and wishes. By using Skype [[28]](http://www.skype.com/en/) for meetings we were able to keep in touch whenever we needed feedback on a certain aspect of the project.

Testing as much as possible is something that helped us shape the project into something a consumer would want. Without several iteration going through testing we would have had no idea on how the game feels for a regular player.
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This is an example of our first “Sketch” of the main menu. The colors and fonts are not yet complete, but it gives us an idea of what we want the graphical style to be like. The color of the title will be changed to give it a cartoony look. The grass and sky areas will have more detail.  We are also not done deciding where to place our Vikings. We want our design to be cartoony without giving our game a “childish” look. The final version of our main menu has more assets as well as redone text and backgrounds. Testing has shown that we had to edit the font to make it more readable.

### 11.1 Sprint Reviews

Sprint review for the first two weeks of our project. We spent most of the two first weeks of the project paintballing ideas between each other and trying to see what we actually could do with the time we were given. We drew up four to five “main” ideas that we talked about within the group. After this we had a meeting with the company we would be working for to see what they felt about our ideas and how we were to accomplish them. We gave ourselves one more week of brainstorming to see if we could keep the idea momentum going.

During this week we tried coming up with simple yet fun games that would be doable within our timeframe. We had to abandon some ideas because of the fact that they were either too similar to other famous games or that we felt that they would not be fun enough to last in the long run. There has been some discussion in this part on how we are to do the business model without losing the players interest. We did a in depth market analysis in order to take points from mobile games that have what is considered good models as well as the more known bad models.

At the end of the second week we had yet another meeting where we pitched the new ideas as well as showing off some prototypes we made to give a more visual representation of our ideas. We came to the conclusion that we were to make a tower defense game with a new twist.

We started off the next week by designing a basic proof of concept where we had some simple towers and moving enemies. The group dynamic was working well because of the fact that we all knew each other before we started.

We had assigned main tasks for everyone so that everyone had a main area of expertise. Because of this everyone knew what they were doing at each time. So far the daily stand ups have been useful for keeping everyone up to date with what is being done. We have set up a Jira [[4]](https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira) account with an extensive backlog in order to keep everything under control. So far we feel that we are somewhat ahead of schedule when it comes to that game itself. The programmers understood Unity [[10]](https://unity3d.com/) faster than we had though and this has led to a much more detailed second prototype than what we anticipated.

We are currently working hard on getting the game ready for our first official external testing. For this test we are planning to have a production quality map with the basic building and defending. We decided not to have every feature in this iteration.

At the end of iteration two we have gotten a lot of assets and back end coding done. The documentation has gotten quite a lot longer and detailed. When it comes to the testing we have decided to postpone it to the end of the next week so that we can make the prototype even better. As of now the things we will have to improve on is to get everybody to meet up at the correct time, but we are improving on this. Overall we are quite content with our progress on the iteration and we expect to do even better on the next iteration.

When it comes to the third iteration we have made small but important improvements to the code. Most of the work that has been done has been oriented around repairing broken code and doing basic testing. A big issue with this iteration is that we made some big mistakes when it comes to the game coding and we had to redo a lot. We have improved on the aoe rock so that the smaller pieces of the rock also do damage to the enemy. We have begun on the code that lets units attack each other. When it comes to the artwork we have finished several important towers and buildings, they will have to be animated in the next sprint. We also finished a demo map that we showed off to different people at the school and received feedback on design.

We are currently trying out ways to add a save game function in our game. We decided to push the external testing back one week so that we can finalize the features we are currently working on.

In iteration four we made the biggest changes to the gameplay. The external testing this time was done on mobile phones. The testing focused on the graphical part of the game, as well as the new main menu. We felt that it was important to external testing on phones in this iteration. Testing our game on phones gave us valuable feedback on size and visibility. The testing showed that we need to make certain changes to our units, towers and GUI. The main changes we will have to make are the size of our units. Testers felt that they were a bit small and we will need to make them somewhat larger to compensate for a smaller screen. There will also have to be shadowing added to the towers and units to make them look less flat.

Overall we feel that we got a lot of work done in this sprint, and that we are closing in on finishing the back end portion of the project. This means that we soon can start polishing and mas develop maps for the game. We are also closing in on the biggest portion of the development, which is the network coding. We have given our programmers six weeks on this considering the size of this part.

In the fourth sprint we finished most of the GUI elements and we have also finished up most of the functionality. The back end of the project is getting closer to an end and we are currently preparing for next week’s network coding. The buildings and economy system is also close to completion and will be implemented in the next prototype of the game. We have made some changes to prefabs and scripts in order to make them more readable for later iterations.

Assets have also seen progress with the scout, barracks and market being completed and the blacksmith closing on completion. Balancing is completed on paper and will also be implemented soon. On the documentation side we have been putting together a test document for delivery. The document is not a complete document yet. There are still several points that will have to be altered before the final documentation can be delivered.

The first week of the sprint saw some problems when it comes to sickness and we did not complete as many tasks as expected, but we made up for it by being more effective the last week.

### 11.2 Drawings:

This section is to show drawings that were used while creating the game.



Heavy unit: The heavy unit is a unit that focuses on power and health over speed and agility. He is a huge man with 2 shields to guard him from attacks.

.



Basic unit: The basic unit is the cheapest and first unit you will build before a round. This is a balanced unit meant to be mass produced.



Scout: The scout is a fast moving lightly armored unit. Its purpose is to be able to run fast trough the map in between the heavy’s. Its light armors makes it very vulnerable to towers and for him to be effective he should be accompanied with heavier units.



Town hall

The town hall is based on Viking long halls. Your main objective is to protect this at all cost.



Market

The market was based old town markets where you could buy more or less anything you might need. The colors were decided based on what we would consider less straining on the eyes.



Slowing tower

The slowing tower was to be a bell so that we could have people hitting it and causing tremors to slow enemies. The bell was colored yellow to not conflict with other objects in the scene.



Basic tower

The basic tower was designed as an old watchtower. We decided on this design to indicate that it is the simplest tower. The red flags are these to separate it from other assets you might see in the scene.



Example map. This is an example map that we used in the first couple of tests.

We decided on green hills inspired by Disney[[25]](http://www.disney.no/) that was both colorful and detailed.



Barracks

The barracks in the game were based on older RTS games showing a training area outside a building. Colors were chosen based on what was the most comfortable on the eyes.



Lake

A basic lake with the edges. The edges around the lake are there to represent a drop and a change in terrain.



Blacksmith:

The blacksmith is used as a way to upgrade your unit’s life and movement speed.

Sketch for balancing loops

The five center black circles are the units, and the outermost black is the towers.



### 11.3 Visual themes

Our game will feature different environments for the player to advance trough. We are currently looking at a forest like environment as well as a snowy winter landscape with ice cliffs and frozen lakes. The later levels will focus on a more grim burnt setting. This setting was designed to represent hell.. We feel that these two environments in particular fit the theme we are going for. The contrast between the two different kinds of environments will give the player much needed variety so that they won't lose interest with the environments quickly. The themes also allow us to create some cosmetic assets to add variety to the environment. An important aspect of our design is to keep the games colorful even though they might be in environments that mostly consist of one color. We are working hard trying to add variety to these areas.

For our first external test we designed a frozen landscape for the player to test. Based on their feedback the design may be altered. An important part of the design is to make the map itself feel alive with cosmetic content.

This means that we will fill out the areas surrounding the path with trees, lakes and mountains, giving the world a more vibrant feel. It is also important that our cosmetic additions don’t distract the player or makes the player click on areas he is not supposed to. The artist has taken inspiration from other similar mobile games as well as Disney movies [[26]](http://www.disney.no/). The way the characters are being drawn gives them a unique hand drawn look which we feel is unique to the genre and will give our game a fresh look.
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